UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,444	02/02/2000	Yumin Tao	1109	6243

27310 7590 03/14/2005	
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL INC. 7100 N.W. 62ND AVENUE P.O. BOX 1000 JOHNSTON, IA 50131	EXAMINER
	COLLINS, CYNTHIA E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1638	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/496,444	TAO ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Cynthia Collins	1638	

All Participants:

(1) *Cynthia Collins*.

(2) *Kim Hagemann*.

Status of Application: *allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *8 March 2005*   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
supplemental examiner's amendment to page 1, line 9 of the specification to clarify the relationship of this application to the recited applications as a continuation-in-part for all, as set forth at page 3 of LET 02/02/2000.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)